

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Tod
<b>Given Name</b>	Gerard
<b>Person ID</b>	1285829
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Tod
<b>Given Name</b>	Gerard
<b>Person ID</b>	1285829
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Does not meet the criteria of The National Design Guide.
<b>Family Name</b>	Tod
<b>Given Name</b>	Gerard
<b>Person ID</b>	1285829
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</li> <li>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</li> <li>4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets</li> <li>5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity</li> <li>6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information</li> <li>7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> <li>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</li> <li>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</li> </ol>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Tod
<b>Given Name</b>	Gerard
<b>Person ID</b>	1285829
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Tod
<b>Given Name</b>	Gerard
<b>Person ID</b>	1285829
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Tod
<b>Given Name</b>	Gerard
<b>Person ID</b>	1285829

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JPA 25: Trows Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>This unwanted proposal to build new homes is not in line with The National Design Guide, will put enormous pressure on already local unsuitable roads, local infrastructure. destroy habitat and much loved countryside.</p> <p>It will further pollute the atmosphere as if it was not bad enough with the motorway so close, that local residents have to put up with. This proposal brings no benefit whatsoever to the local community and can only bring a deterioration to health and well being of local residents.</p> <p>Local people have made their objections known to further development in Castleton over recent years only to be ignored by councillors. The area in question is completely "boxed in " between the motorways, railways and canal, cramming in more and more traffic and pollution onto this rat run from Manchester to Rochdale, Littleborough via already unsuitable infrastructure, put in place, installed by previous extremely bad and short sighted council decisions. This proposal is no different with no further infrastructure proposed and very limited or NO options for improvement.</p>